

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE  
WESTERN DIVISION

---

IN RE REGIONS MORGAN KEEGAN	)	No. 2:09-md-02009-SHM
SECURITIES, DERIVATIVE and ERISA	)	
LITIGATION	)	
	)	
This Document Relates to:	)	
	)	
<i>In re Regions Morgan Keegan Closed-End</i>	)	
<i>Fund Litigation,</i>	)	
	)	
No. 2:07-cv-02830-SHM-dkv	)	
	)	

---

**MOTION TO DISMISS OF MORGAN KEEGAN & COMPANY, INC.,  
MORGAN ASSET MANAGEMENT, INC., AND MK HOLDING, INC.**

Defendants Morgan Keegan & Company, Inc. (“Morgan Keegan”), Morgan Asset Management, Inc. (“MAM”), and MK Holding, Inc. (“MK Holding”), respectfully file this motion to dismiss Plaintiffs’ Consolidated Amended Complaint (“CAC”), pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Plaintiffs assert three claims against Defendants Morgan Keegan, MAM, and MK Holding. Counts I-II assert claims against Morgan Keegan under §§ 11 and 12(a)(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 (“1933 Act”), regarding purported misrepresentations and omissions contained in the Helios Multi-Sector High Income Fund’s Registration Statement and Prospectus. Count V asserts a control person liability claim against Morgan Keegan, MAM, and MK Holding under § 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“1934 Act”), relating to alleged misrepresentations in the annual, semi-annual, and quarterly reports filed by Helios High Income Fund, Inc., Helios Strategic Income Fund, Inc., Helios Advantage Fund, Inc., and Helios Multi-Sector High Income Fund, Inc. (collectively the “Funds”). Each of these claims fails as a matter of law.

First, Plaintiffs' claims are time-barred by the applicable limitations periods. Plaintiffs' 1933 Act claims are also barred by that Act's statute of repose. Because Plaintiffs failed to timely assert these claims on behalf of the class pleaded in the CAC and no basis exists to toll the applicable limitations and repose periods, Plaintiffs' claims are time-barred.

Second, the CAC alleges nothing more than a fraud-by-hindsight theory of liability premised on the Funds' ultimate performance. Plaintiffs fail to plead facts giving rise to a strong inference of scienter as required by the heightened pleading requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and Rule 9(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Third, Plaintiffs fail to allege any actionable material misstatements or omissions to support either their 1933 Act or 1934 Act claims. The Funds' Offering Documents and other public filings plainly disclosed the Funds' respective investment objectives and strategies, the nature of the investments each Fund would and did make, the Funds' respective pricing and valuation procedures, and the reasonably foreseeable risks associated with an investment in the Funds, including the risks that ultimately materialized when the credit markets collapsed in mid-2007. At most, Plaintiffs' allegations amount to a claim of mismanagement, which will not support a claim under the federal securities laws.

Fourth, Plaintiffs' allegations demonstrate a lack of loss causation, which bars Plaintiffs from recovering damages on their claims under either the 1934 Act or the 1933 Act. Rather than showing loss causation, Plaintiffs' allegations affirmatively establish that their losses resulted not from the revelation of any false statements or omissions, but from the global credit crisis, which had an industry-wide impact on the value of securities held by the Funds.

Finally, the remaining claim against Defendants is premised on allegations that Defendants were "control persons" responsible for the conduct of the Funds. This claim must be dismissed because Plaintiffs have failed to establish a primary violation of the securities laws by

any Defendant. Plaintiffs otherwise have offered only conclusory allegations to support their claims for control person liability.

As such, Morgan Keegan, MAM and MK Holding respectfully request that Plaintiffs' CAC be dismissed with prejudice. A memorandum of law and the Declaration of Matthew M. Curley are filed herewith in support of this motion.

DATED this 13th day of April 2011.

Respectfully submitted,

BASS, BERRY & SIMS PLC

By: /s/ Matthew M. Curley

Michael L. Dagley  
Matthew M. Curley  
Britt K. Latham  
W. Brantley Phillips, Jr.  
BASS BERRY & SIMS PLC  
150 Third Avenue South, Suite 2800  
Nashville, TN 37201  
(615) 742-6200

Shepherd D. Tate  
Michael A. Brady  
BASS BERRY & SIMS PLC  
100 Peabody Place, Suite 900  
Memphis, Tennessee 38103-3672  
(901) 543-5900

*Attorneys for Morgan Keegan & Company,  
Inc., Morgan Asset Management, Inc., and  
MK Holding, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on April 13, 2011, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system which will send a notice of electronic filing to the following and/or served the following via U.S. Mail:

**BRANSTETTER STRANCH  
JENNINGS, PLLC**  
J. GERARD STRANCH, IV  
227 Second Avenue, North  
Nashville, TN 37201

**LABATON SUCHAROW LLP**  
JOEL H. BERNSTEIN  
LOUIS GOTTLIEB  
DAVID J. GOLDSMITH  
STEFANIE J. SUNDEL  
MICHAEL WOOLLEY  
140 Broadway  
New York, NY 10005

**PEARSON SIMON WARSHAW &  
PENNY, LLP**  
GEORGE S. TREVOR  
44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450  
San Francisco, CA 94104

**CABANISS JOHNSTON GARDNER  
DUMAS & O'NEAL LLP**  
CRAWFORD S. MCGIVAREN  
R. CARLTON SMYLY  
Suite 700, Park Place Tower  
2001 Park Place North  
Birmingham, AL 35203

**PAUL HASTINGS JANOFSKY &  
WALKER LLP**  
KEVIN C. LOGUE  
75 E. 55th Street  
New York, NY 10022

**SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN,  
LLP**  
S. LAWRENCE POLK  
999 Peachtree Street NE  
Atlanta, GA 30309

**SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP**  
DAVID B. TULCHIN  
DAVID E. SWARTS  
125 Broad Street  
New York, New York 1004

**MAYNARD COOPER & GALE PC**  
PETER S. FRUIN  
2400 Regions Harbert Plaza  
1901 Sixth Avenue North  
Birmingham, AL 35203

**PURSLEY LOWERY MEEKS LLP**  
R. HAL MEEKS  
ROBIN A. BESAW  
260 Peachtree Street NW  
Atlanta, GA 30303

/s/ Matthew M. Curley